Programming Abstractions Week 9-2: Dynamic Bindings and Parameter Passing

Stephen Checkoway

Dynamic binding vs. lexical binding

Scope of a declaration

The scope of a declaration is the portion of the expression or program to which that declaration applies

Lexical binding

- Scope of a variable is determined by textual layout of the program
- C, Java, Scheme/Racket use lexical binding

Dynamic binding

- Scope of a variable is determined by most recent runtime declaration
- Bash and classic Lisp use dynamic binding

by textual layout of the program

by most recent *runtime* declaration binding

What is the value of y in the body of (f 2)

(let ([y 3]) (let ([f (λ (x) (+ x y))]) (let ([y 17]) (f 2))))

With lexical (also called static) binding: y is 3 The value of y comes from the closest lexical binding of y, namely [y 3]

With dynamic binding: y is 17

[y 17]

The value of y comes from the most-recent run-time binding of y, namely

Lambdas in a lexically-scoped language

- A lambda expression evaluates to a closure which is a triple containing the environment at the time the lambda is evaluated
- the parameters
- the body of the lambda

- When we apply the closure to argument expressions we evaluate the arguments in the current environment • extend the closure's environment with bindings of parameters to argument values
- evaluate the closure's body in the extended environment

Variable	Value
У	3

Variable	Value	
У	3	
Variable	Value	
f	closure	

Variable	Value	
У	3	
Variable	Value	
f	closure	
Variable	Value	
У	17	



Lambdas in a dynamically-scoped language

- the parameters
- the body of the lambda

When we apply the procedure to argument expressions we evaluate the arguments in the current environment • extend the current environment with bindings of parameters to argument

- values
- evaluate the lambda's body in the extended environment

A lambda expression evaluates to a procedure which is just a pair containing



Variable	Value
У	3

Variable	Value	
У	3	
Variable	Value	
f	procedure	

Variable	Value	
У	3	
Variable	Value	
f	procedure	
f	procedure	
f Variable	procedure Value	

Variable	Value
У	3
Variable	Value
f	procedure
Variable	Value
У	17
Variable	Value
x	0

dynamic binding?

- A. Lexical: 10 Dynamic: 10
- B. Lexical: 10 Dynamic: 20
- C. Lexical: 20 Dynamic: 10

What is the value of this expression assuming lexical binding? What about

D. Lexical: 20 Dynamic: 20

E. None of the above

dynamic binding?

- A. Lexical: 15 Dynamic: 15
- B. Lexical: 15 Dynamic: 10
- C. Lexical: 10 Dynamic: 15

What is the value of this expression assuming lexical binding? What about

D. Lexical: Error Dynamic: 10

E. None of the above

(define f (let ([z 100]) $(\lambda (x) (+ x z)))$ (let ([z 10]) (f 2))

- A. Lexical: 12 Dynamic: 12
- B. Lexical: 12 Dynamic: 102
- C. Lexical: 102 Dynamic: 12

What is the value of this let expression assuming lexical binding? What about dynamic binding?

- D. Lexical: 102 Dynamic: 102
- E. None of the above

Why was dynamic binding ever used?

It's easy to implement

- Dynamic binding was understood several years before static binding
- the latest, runtime version of y is

It made sense to some people that $(\lambda (x) (+ x y))$ should use whatever

Why do we now use lexical binding?

Most languages are derived from Algol-60 which used lexical binding

Compilers can use lexical addresses known at compile time for all variable references

Code from lexically-bound languages is easier to verify

- we run the program
- It makes more sense to most people

- E.g., in Racket, we can ensure a variable is declared before it is used before

Python example

- def fun(x): return lambda y: x + y
- def main(): f = fun(10)print(f(7)) # Prints 17 x = 20# Prints 17 print(f(7))

main()

Bash example

1 #!/bin/bash 2 3 **x=0** 4 5 setx() { x=\$1 6 7 } 8 9 printx() { **echo** "\${x}" 10 11 } 12

- 13 main() {
- 14 printx # prints 0
- 15 setx 10
- 16 printx # prints 10
 17 local x=25
- 18 printx # prints 25!
- 19 setx 100
- 20 printx # prints 100!
- 21 }
- 22
- 23 main
- 24 printx # prints 10

Parameter-passing mechanisms

Three mechanisms

Pass by value

- Arguments are evaluated in the caller's environment
- Argument values are bound to parameters

Pass by reference

- Arguments must be variables
- Addresses of arguments are bound to the parameters

Pass by name

- Arguments are not evaluated
- The text of the arguments is passed to the function and replace the parameters in the function's body

Aside: Mutation and sequencing

be able to mutate (modify) variables

In Scheme, (set! var value) (let ([v 10]) (begin (displayln v) ; prints 10 (set! v 20) (displayln v))); prints 20

(begin expl ... expn)

- Evaluates each expression and returns the value of the final one The other n-1 expressions are only useful for their side effects like printing or
- modifying variables
- begin isn't actually needed here, let allows multi-expression bodies

To see the difference between pass by value and pass by reference, we need to

Pass by value vs. by reference

- (let ([v 0] [f (λ (x) (set! x 34))]) (f v) V)
- Pass by value
- When evaluating (f v), x is initially bound to 0
- The (set! x 34) sets the value of x to 34; v remains bound to 0
- The final v evaluates 0 and thus the whole expression evaluates to 0

Pass by reference

- When evaluating (f v), x and v refer to the same variable with value 0
- The (set! x 34) sets the value of that variable to 34
- The final v (and the whole expression) evaluates to 34

What is the value of the let expression assuming pass by value? What about pass by reference?

- A. Value: '(1 2) Reference: '(1 2)
- B. Value: '(1 2) Reference: '(4 3)

- C. Value: '(4 3) Reference '(1 2)
- D. Value: '(1 2) Reference: '(4 12)

Pass by reference in Scheme (sort of)

- We create a box which holds a value
- functions
- The value inside the box can be mutated
- (let ([v (box 0)] [f (λ (x) (set-box! x 34))]) (f v) (unbox v)) ; Returns 34

The value of the box itself is the address of the variable and can be passed to

Pass by value vs name Pass by value

Pass by value

- f is called with value 5 so x is bound to 5
- v is set to 1
- x is returned

ed begin in λ body

Pass by value vs name Pass by name

Pass by name

The text of f's body becomes the t text of the argument)
 (set! v (+ v 1))

(+ v 5)

v is set to 1 and then 6 is returned

ed begin in λ body

The text of f's body becomes the two expressions (by replacing x with the

Pass by name in Scheme: macros (define-syntax-rule (name param1 ... paramn) body)

We can create macros where the arguments are substituted textually for the parameters (we'll probably discuss this more later in the semester)

This isn't quite the same as pass by name because Scheme macros don't allow free variables (here, v always refers to the v in the let expression)

Pass by x

Pass by value

- Easiest to understand and most common
- Used by Scheme, Java, C, Python, Bash, and most other languages

Pass by reference

- don't support returning multiple values
- Allows modifying passed in variables which can be useful in languages that Supported by C++, C#, Rust, and others

Pass by name

- Least common mechanism and by far the most difficult to reason about
- Used by macro languages like TeX, m4, and C's preprocessor Macro constructs in languages like Scheme and Rust

Pass by name in TeX

TeX is a macro language for writing documents \def\work#1#2{%

- All work and no play makes #1 a dull #2.\par 2 3
- \def\sad#1#2dull{% 4
- 5 #1 a sad%
- \work{Jack}{boy}
- \work{\sad{Steve}}{professor} 8

6

All work and no play makes Jack a dull boy. All work and no play makes Steve a sad professor.

Pass by name in the C preprocessor

```
1 #include <stdio.h>
 2
 3 #define swap(x, y) \
     int tmp = x; \setminus
 4
 5
    x = y; \setminus
 6
    y = tmp
 7
 8 int main() {
     int arr[5] = \{4, 4, 4, 4, 4\};
9
     int idx = 2;
10
11
     swap(idx, arr[idx]);
12
     printf("%d\n", idx);
13
     printf("{%d, %d, %d, %d, %d}\n",
14
             arr[0], arr[1], arr[2], arr[3], arr[4]);
15
     return 0;
16 }
```

swap sets idx to 4 and then arr[4] to 2, arr[2] is unchanged!



Rust

1	fn	<pre>by_value(mut x: u32) {</pre>
2		x += 1;
3	}	
4		
5	fn	<pre>by_ref(x: &mut u32) {</pre>
6		*x += 1;
7	}	
8		
Ρ	rint	S
0		
1		
/		

9 fn main() { let mut v = 0;10 11 macro_rules! by_name { 12 (\$x:stmt) => { 13 14v += 1;\$x 15 16 } 17 } 18 by_value(v); 19 println!("{}", v); 20 by_ref(&mut v); 21 println!("{}", v); 22 by_name! (v += 5); 23 println!("{}", v); 24 25 }

Implementing pass by reference

MiniScheme implements pass-by-value (or will, once you implement lambdas in the next homework)

We can make it pass-by reference by

- storing each value in a box;
- normal;
- unbox when performing primitive procedures

when calling functions, do not unbox the values, but pass the boxes as

Implementing pass by name

We can make MiniScheme pass by name via function re-writing

- Don't evaluate arguments at all
- tree) replacing each use of a parameter with the parse tree for the corresponding argument

In (apply-proc p args), rewrite the procedure's body (which is a parse)